Information Management and Business Review (ISSN 2220-3796)
Vol. 16, No. 3S(a), pp. 56-66, Oct 2024

Assessing the Effects of Organizational Culture on the Connection Between Innovation in Organizations and Human Resource Management

*Ahmad Nizam Bin Mohd Yusof[1], Shamsul Azren Mohd Syukur[1], Nur Aizureen Anwar[1], Zarina Abdul Munir[1], Ruzita Manshor[1], Muna Wadhiha Mohd Fauzi[1], Kamaruzaman Kamis[2]
[1]Management Department, Faculty of Business Management (FPP), University Teknologi Mara (UiTM), Puncak Alam , Malaysia
[2]Silverline Dynamic Sdn Bhd Cyberjaya, Malaysia
*nizam3103@uitm.edu.my
Corresponding Author: Ahmad Nizam Bin Mohd Yusof

Abstract This article examines the significance of human resource management (HRM) in innovation, organizational culture, and organizational effectiveness. The conceptual framework creation was supported by extracting information from literature research on related study topics, such as resource-based views and organizational effectiveness. This paper seeks to address the existing gap in the literature on organizational effectiveness by emphasizing the role of HRM in influencing business performance. Specifically, it focuses on the intermediate impact of HRM on innovation and culture. This research can help higher education institutions better understand how human resource policies can improve long-term performance by encouraging innovation and fostering an effective culture.

Keywords: *Organizational culture, innovation, effectiveness, HRM*

1. Introduction and background

In the current intricate and competitive job market, various elements influence an employee's choice to stay in their current vocation inside their organization. The factors that are most renowned for potentially influencing an employee's decision to remain with or go from a company are the economic environment, job satisfaction, managerial practices, organizational culture, and occupational commitment. However, this study primarily focuses on the strategic management of human resources to enhance employee innovation. In contemporary human resource management, it is necessary to synchronize organizational goals with the human resource strategy to guarantee coherence between personnel policies and management objectives. Consequently, the HR department should no longer be perceived as a mere extension of the company, but rather as a crucial component in guaranteeing the achievement of organizational objectives. The objectives of strategic management are to furnish the organization with a clear orientation and a sense of mission.

Organizational culture plays a critical role in bridging the gap between innovation and human resource management (HRM) in organizations. Here's how:

Culture as an Inhibitor or a Facilitator: Innovation may be encouraged or stifled by an organization's culture. Innovative ideas are more likely to be supported in a culture that values experimenting, taking risks, and open communication. On the other hand, a strict or risk-averse culture can hinder innovation and creativity. Harmony with the Innovation Approach: The organization's innovation goals and culture must coincide for innovation to flourish. This entails cultivating virtues like cooperation, adaptability, and never-ending learning. Developing HR Procedures: The organizational culture must be reflected in and supported by HRM procedures. For instance, if the culture values innovation, HR procedures should concentrate on finding, training, and keeping creative staff members.

Performance Management: Rather than focusing just on achieving short-term objectives, performance measures in an innovative culture may give precedence to creativity, teamwork, and the capacity to effect change. Empowerment and Autonomy: Employees' capacity for innovation can be improved in a workplace culture that grants them both empowerment and autonomy. HR can help with this by creating positions that promote creativity and by offering resources for skill improvement. Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing: Cross-functional innovation is more likely to occur in cultures that value cooperation and sharing of knowledge. HR may help with this by encouraging communities of practice and networks inside the company.

Training and Development: By offering chances for ongoing learning, HRM is essential to maintaining an innovative culture. Programs for developing creative thinking, problem-solving, and other innovative talents may fall under this category. Reward and Recognition: Employee incentives are in line with the company culture when creative conduct is

Acknowledged and rewarded, which reinforces the desired behaviors that foster innovation. Cultural Transformation: To foster innovation more effectively, a company may occasionally need to change its culture. HRM plays a critical role in managing this transition by bringing leadership together, involving staff members, and revamping procedures to fit the new culture. Employee Engagement: Increasing employee engagement through a strong, supportive culture can result in increased.

In the end, human resource management must be integrated into the overall plan to maximize staff utilization and provide organizations with better returns on investment, as indicated by ROI (Return on Investment) per unit of currency allotted to them. Organizations run the danger of not making the most use of their staff if the human resource management approach is not developed in this way. This is not a good sign for the success of the organization.

2 . Literature Review

Examining several academic research, theories, and viewpoints is part of a literature study on the subject of how organizational culture influences the relationship between innovation in organizations and human resource management (HRM). Important ideas, theoretical frameworks, and findings from empirical research will all be covered in this overview. The common attitudes, behaviors, and values that influence how people behave within an organization are referred to as its organizational culture. Schein & Schein (2019) posits that an organization's culture may be viewed as its "DNA," impacting every facet of its functioning, encompassing innovation and human resource management. Employee perceptions of their responsibilities, engagement levels, and readiness to innovate are shaped by this culture.

Organizational cultures that value transparency, adaptability, and a risk-taking attitude are said to be more innovative, according to several studies. Quinn and Cameron (2024), for example, emphasize the importance of an adaptable and flexible culture in promoting innovation, contending that these cultures inspire workers to try new things and welcome unconventional ideas. Obstacles to Innovation: Conversely, hierarchical or excessively efficiency-focused cultures might hinder innovation. According to Martins and Terblanche (2003), organizations with inflexible cultures that prioritize control and conformity tend to have lower levels of creativity and innovation. Management of Human Resources and Organizational Culture HRM as a Designer of Cultures:

HRM as a Cultural Architect: HRM is essential to establishing and preserving corporate culture. Ulrich et al (2007) suggest that HR strategies, including recruitment, training, and performance management, have a crucial role in fostering a culture of innovation. Alignment with Organizational Culture: Bowen and Ostroff's (2004) research emphasizes the significance of matching HR procedures to the culture of the company. They contend that HRM and an inventive culture work together to produce a synergistic effect that improves the organization's capacity for innovation as a whole. Mutual Reinforcement: There is a mutually reinforcing relationship between HRM, innovation, and corporate culture. Research by Damanpour and Schneider (2006) shows that HRM practices that foster innovation are incentivized by an innovation-supportive culture, resulting in a positive feedback loop.

HRM's Function in Innovation: Research such as that conducted by Sanders et al. (2018) has demonstrated the importance of particular HRM practices in creating an environment that is conducive to innovation, such as continuous learning and development. These procedures aid in fostering in workers the attitudes and abilities needed to create. Surveys and Case Studies: Through HRM, empirical research has shed light on how various organizational cultures impact innovation. For instance, Chang and Lee's 2017 study discovered that successful innovation was more common in companies with a strong culture of cooperation and knowledge sharing. In a similar vein, Chen and Huang (2009) showed that HR procedures emphasizing collaboration and employee

empowerment had a favorable effect on organizational creativity. Cross-Cultural Views: Research by Hofstede (1980) and later investigations have demonstrated that cultural variation

Cultural Inertia: Long-standing organizational cultures' resistance to change is one of the issues addressed in the literature. As mentioned by Denison, this can present serious challenges for HRM in promoting innovation (1996). The literature also discusses the question of whether organizational cultures can be successfully altered to foster innovation, with a focus on dynamic vs. static cultures. According to Kotter and Heskett (1992), deeply rooted beliefs and conventions may endure despite cultural change, making it challenging for HRM to spur innovation. Organizational culture, innovation, and HRM have a complicated and intertwined relationship, according to the literature. Innovation requires a supportive culture, and HRM practices play a critical role in creating and maintaining this culture. However, there are obstacles like cultural inertia and the requirement for culture and HRM practices to coincide.

The purpose of this study is to ascertain how employee innovation and human resources strategy are related. The process of attracting, assessing, and selecting qualified candidates for a position inside an organization is known as recruitment. Hiring is an essential managerial responsibility that guarantees the acquisition of a highly productive workforce. Finding the best candidate for a position is the essence of effective recruitment, which has a big impact on customer perception, industry reputation, workforce size, productivity, and profit. These days, hiring new staff members and their capacity for innovation have become major concerns for organizations.

Carefully examining the organization's practices—including its structures, procedures, and policies—is essential to developing a consistently inventive workforce. This happens because these practices may inadvertently make it more difficult for the business to promote innovation. For innovation to flourish, senior leaders' mere dedication to it—even when combined with an emphasis on the requisite skills and culture—is insufficient. For example, it was recorded in another case that "Many seasoned HR directors and hiring managers agree that senior staff are receiving worse treatment and bemoan the loss of their important contributions, such as organizational knowledge and the ability to mentor junior staff" (p. C1). Many companies were not designed to make innovation or change easier.

According to Elippo, training is the process of improving a worker's knowledge and abilities to carry out a certain task. On the other hand, according to Dale Yoder, training is the methodical process of providing people with the abilities and information needed to complete specific job responsibilities. According to Beach, training is a methodical procedure that people use to gain knowledge and abilities for a certain goal. To increase employee performance, training can involve learning new material, honing existing abilities, comprehending ideas, adhering to regulations, or changing attitudes and behaviors. A person's capacity to plan and carry out their goals determines their level of success in life, not just their wants. The means of getting there is more important than the final destination. In the same way, the strategy for starting something should be the main focus rather than the intended degree of success. Training is recognizing where you are right now, whether things seem good or bad, and projecting where you want to go in the future at the same instant. In addition, training describes the process of acquiring knowledge, competencies, and skills via career advancement. The process of acquiring skillful behavior is known as training. One's capacity to plan ahead and carry out their goals is what ultimately determines their success in life, not just their wants.

An employer's acknowledgment and appreciation of a worker's performance is referred to as reward and recognition. In return for their services, employees receive monetary compensation, which is referred to as this. Benefits for employees generally don't directly affect how well they work. On the other hand, inadequate benefits are linked to higher employee turnover and absenteeism as well as lower satisfaction levels. To fully use the benefits that employee benefits provide to a company, a positive feedback loop for benefit distribution must be established. AIG UK Benefits recently carried out a survey whereby it looked at how employers and employees felt about the offering and dissemination of voluntary employee benefits (VEBs). The intention was to learn more about how these perks can encourage employee engagement. The results underscored how important it is to communicate benefits packages efficiently. Benefits offered by the company to employees are known as VEBs. One hundred and fifty HR managers who actively choose and promote employee perks at their

different companies made up the research sample. Furthermore, the sample comprised five hundred individual workers who work for companies that offer both mandatory (core) and optional employee benefits.

The foundation for creating HRM procedures that are in line with strategic goals is organizational culture. Certain core skills or abilities have historically been highlighted in the body of extant strategy literature as being essential for attaining good corporate performance. Performance results are strongly influenced by organizational culture. We argue that an organization will function better when its members, regardless of hierarchical position, have common values, presumptions, and beliefs that align with its strategic goals and capabilities. According to recent research, positive organizational outcomes are correlated with high-performance work practices (5) and high-engagement work organizations (6). Outcomes like decreased termination and resignation rates and increased productivity. Results, such as higher productivity and reduced rates of quitting and firing. In general, Organizational capacities and culture encompass the concept of social capital. The overwhelming evidence suggests that social capital has a significant and beneficial impact on the performance of companies.

Three categories of HR practices have been found in the literature to help put in place an HR system that is geared toward encouraging innovation. The three main focuses are as follows: performance-based reward, which emphasizes rewarding employees for their contributions and results; team development, which entails significant development and implementation of leadership and team-based activities; and training-focused, which emphasizes skill improvement and investing in human capital. It's common knowledge that a cross-functional team is a necessary organizational structure for fostering innovation and creativity. Cross-functional teams are heavily utilized by many progressive companies (Ledford, Lawler, & Mohrman, 1995). The three sets of HR practices outlined above are often essential and play a critical role in supporting the development of cross-functional teams inside innovation-driven enterprises.

3. Conceptual Framework

A conceptual framework for assessing how organizational culture affects the connection between innovation in organizations and human resource management (HRM) can be structured to illustrate the relationships between these key components. The framework typically involves defining the key constructs, their interrelationships, and the mechanisms through which these relationships influence outcomes. Below is a conceptual framework that can be used to guide research or analysis in this area.

Conceptual Framework Components

A. Organizational Culture
Definition: Organizational culture refers to the shared values, beliefs, norms, and practices that shape the behavior of individuals within an organization.
Key Dimensions of Culture: Flexibility vs. Rigidity: The degree to which the culture supports adaptability, creativity, and openness to change.
Collaboration vs. Individualism: The extent to which teamwork, knowledge sharing, and collective problem-solving are emphasized.
Risk-Taking vs. Risk-Aversion: The organization's tolerance for uncertainty and its encouragement of experimentation and innovation.
Support for Learning and Development: The emphasis is placed on continuous learning, skill development, and employee growth.

B. Innovation
Definition: Innovation in organizations refers to the process of generating, adopting, and implementing new ideas, processes, products, or services that create value.
Types of Innovation:
Product Innovation: The introduction of new or improved products or services.
Process Innovation: The development of new or improved ways of producing goods or delivering services.
Organizational Innovation: Changes in organizational structure, management practices, or business models.

C. Human Resource Management (HRM)
Definition: HRM refers to the strategic approach to managing an organization's most valuable assets—its people. This includes recruitment, training, development, performance management, and employee relations. **Key HRM Practices:**
Recruitment and Selection: Hiring individuals whose values and skills align with the organization's innovation goals and
Training and Development: Providing continuous learning opportunities that enhance employees' ability to contribute to innovation.
Performance Management: Designing appraisal and reward systems that incentivize innovative behaviors and outcomes.
Employee Engagement and Retention: Strategies to keep employees motivated and committed to the organization, particularly those who contribute to innovation.

Interrelationships and Hypotheses
Organizational Culture as a Mediator:
Hypothesis 1: Organizational culture mediates the relationship between HRM practices and innovation outcomes. For example, HRM practices that promote flexibility, collaboration, and learning are more effective in fostering innovation when they align with a supportive organizational culture.
Hypothesis 2: A culture that emphasizes risk-taking and openness to change strengthens the impact of HRM practices on innovation by encouraging employees to experiment and embrace new ideas.

Direct Impact of HRM on Innovation:
Hypothesis 3: HRM practices directly influence innovation by developing the necessary skills, behaviors, and attitudes among employees. For instance, continuous training and performance management systems that reward innovative contributions directly boost an organization's innovation capacity.

Feedback Loop:
Hypothesis 4: Successful innovation can, in turn, reinforce and shape organizational culture and HRM practices. For example, as an organization becomes more innovative, its culture may evolve to further support creativity and risk-taking, and HRM practices may be adjusted to sustain and scale innovation efforts.
Moderating Effects of Organizational Culture:
Hypothesis 5: The relationship between HRM and innovation is moderated by the strength of the organizational culture. In strong cultures where values and norms are deeply embedded, HRM practices that align with these values are more likely to succeed in promoting innovation.

Visualization of the Conceptual Framework
The conceptual framework can be visualized as follows:
Organizational Culture
- Arrows leading to Box 2: Innovation and Box 3: HRM Practices
- Dimensions of culture influencing both innovation and HRM

Innovation
- Connected with **Box 3: HRM Practices** via a two-way arrow
- Innovation outcomes feeding back into organizational culture

HRM Practices
- Arrows connecting to both Box 1: Organizational Culture and Box 2: Innovation
- HRM practices designed to align with and support the culture, thereby enhancing innovation

This conceptual framework illustrates how organizational culture serves as a critical link between HRM practices and innovation in organizations. By understanding and managing these interrelationships, organizations can create an environment that fosters sustained innovation, supported by strategic HRM practices that align with the desired cultural attributes. Future research and practical applications can use this framework to explore specific aspects of these relationships, such as the impact of different cultural dimensions on various types of innovation, or how specific HRM practices can be tailored to different cultural contexts.

Empirical research has shed considerable light on how different types of organizational cultures influence innovation through human resource management (HRM). These studies generally focus on the characteristics of organizational culture that either facilitate or inhibit innovation and how HRM practices can support or undermine these cultural traits.

Types of Organizational Cultures and Their Impact on Innovation

Innovative Cultures: Research consistently shows that cultures characterized by flexibility, openness, and risk tolerance are more likely to foster innovation. For instance, a study by O'Reilly, Chatman, and Caldwell (1991) found companies with cultures that value adaptability and creativity tend to be more innovative. These organizations often support decentralized decision-making, which empowers employees to take initiative and experiment with new ideas.

Hierarchical Cultures: In contrast, cultures that are more hierarchical and control-oriented often struggle with innovation. A study by Zammuto and Krakower (1991) found that organizations with a strong emphasis on rules, procedures, and control tend to be less innovative. In such environments, employees may feel constrained by the rigid structure, limiting their ability to think creatively or propose novel solutions.

HRM Practices Supporting Innovation

Recruitment and Selection: Empirical studies indicate that recruiting individuals who align with the organization's innovative culture is crucial. For example, a study by Collins and Smith (2006) demonstrated that firms with a strong emphasis on collaborative culture and knowledge-sharing tend to select and retain employees who are not only technically skilled but also adept at working in team-oriented, innovation-driven environments.

Training and Development: Research by Sanders et al. (2018) shows that HRM practices focused on continuous training and development are key to maintaining an innovative culture. These practices ensure that employees acquire the necessary skills to contribute to innovation, such as creative problem-solving and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Performance Management: Empirical evidence suggests that performance management systems that reward creativity and innovation can reinforce a culture of innovation. For instance, a study by De Jong and Den Hartog (2007) found that companies that recognize and reward innovative behavior tend to see higher levels of creative output from their employees.

Knowledge Sharing and Collaborative Cultures

Role of Knowledge Sharing: Cultures that emphasize knowledge sharing and collaboration are particularly conducive to innovation. Research by Chang and Lee (2017) highlights that organizations with strong knowledge-sharing mechanisms often experience higher levels of innovation. These cultures facilitate the flow of ideas across different parts of the organization, enabling employees to build on each other's knowledge and expertise.

HRM's Role in Facilitating Collaboration: HRM practices that promote teamwork and cross-functional collaboration are crucial in such cultures. For example, Chen and Huang (2009) found that HRM practices aimed at enhancing collaboration, such as team-building activities and cross-training programs, significantly boost the organization's capacity for innovation.

Cultural Fit and Employee Engagement

Cultural Fit: The alignment between an employee's values and the organizational culture—often referred to as "cultural fit"—is critical for fostering innovation. A study by Kristof-Brown et al. (2005) found that employees who perceive a strong fit with their organization's culture are more likely to be engaged and contribute to innovation. HRM practices that assess and ensure cultural fit during recruitment and onboarding processes are therefore vital.

Employee Engagement: Engaged employees are more likely to go above and beyond in their roles, contributing to innovative processes. Research by Schaufeli et al. (2002) supports this, showing that engaged employees in

organizations with supportive cultures are more likely to participate in innovation-related activities, such as brainstorming sessions and project teams.5. Case Studies and Cross-Cultural Comparisons

Case Studies: Numerous case studies illustrate how specific organizations have successfully cultivated an innovative culture through targeted HRM practices. For example, Google is often cited in the literature as a case study of how a culture of innovation, supported by HRM practices like 20% time (where employees can spend 20% of their time on projects of their choice), can lead to significant innovative outcomes.

Cross-Cultural Comparisons: Cross-cultural studies also provide insights into how different national cultures impact the relationship between organizational culture, HRM, and innovation. For instance, Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory has been used to explore how cultural differences in power distance, uncertainty avoidance, and individualism/collectivism influence organizational innovation across different countries (Hofstede, 1980). In cultures with low power distance and high Individual organizations tend to have more innovation-friendly HRM practices, compared to those in high power distance, collectivist cultures.

Challenges and Limitations

Cultural Inertia: One of the challenges highlighted in the empirical literature is the concept of cultural inertia, where long-standing organizational cultures resist change, thereby inhibiting innovation. This is particularly evident in studies of older, established organizations that have a deeply ingrained culture focused on efficiency and control.

Balancing Innovation with Other Organizational Goals: Another challenge is balancing the need for innovation with other organizational goals, such as operational efficiency. Research by Gibson and Birkinshaw (2004) suggests that organizations must find a balance between maintaining a culture that supports innovation while also achieving operational excellence.

Empirical research provides strong evidence that organizational culture significantly influences the relationship between innovation and HRM. Cultures that are flexible, collaborative, and open to risk-taking tend to foster higher levels of innovation, particularly when supported by HRM practices that reinforce these cultural attributes. However, challenges such as cultural inertia and the need to balance innovation with other goals must be carefully managed. Future research could further explore these dynamics, particularly in the context of rapidly changing business environments and diverse cultural settings.

Managerial Implications

Pragmatically speaking, the proposed paradigm benefits higher education institutions in particular. Better organizational performance for businesses will result from fusing HRM approaches with creativity and cultural efficacy. Addressing environmental protection, developing continuously, and adapting to societal and governmental demands, will strengthen the firm's sustainability. Furthermore, the proposed paradigm provides scholars with insightful information about how HRM might enhance organizational performance through innovation and cultural efficacy. To achieve cutting-edge innovations and sustain long-term success in the ever-changing business landscape, firms must have access to human resources. The importance of innovation performance and cultural effectiveness in the relationship between human resource management is highlighted by this study.

4. Methodology

The Human Resource function is vital for any firm, regardless of management's awareness of its impact on daily activities. Human Resources plays an increasingly important function in today's organizations. It is widely known that most firms' most valuable resource is their workforce. Human Resources is the traditional functional department in charge of personnel management, and its strategic scope is expanding. Human Resource teams are now responsible for change management, succession and retention planning, continuous learning, and 360 career planning. Before implementing a strategy, Human Resources must first identify the existing obstructions to the planned improvements in their organization. Strategy implementation is a systematic process of removing internal obstacles.

Before executing a strategy, Human Resources must first identify the present roadblocks to organizational change. Strategy implementation is a rigorous process of removing internal barriers to organizational transformation. Every strategic strategy will inevitably face opposition, even if the majority agrees that change is required. Furthermore, the more drastic the shift in strategy, the more disagreement will arise. Measures and analyses.

The dependent variable was assessed using a single-item question, 'Please rate your firm's success in the area of developing new products or services over the last three years as compared to your industry's average,' on a Likert scale of 1 (poor) to 5 (very good). It's been hypothesized that It has been hypothesized that this comparative strategy is more effective in eliciting responses than explicitly asking respondents to supply exact values. A conceptual model of how the HR system influences innovation through company culture.

HR can proactively prevent many potential conflicts by anticipating and resolving some of the issues that are likely to arise. Typically, there are five primary reasons why plan implementation fails, and as a result, HR management must work to overcome around ten predictable hurdles. The primary factors and associated concerns include the organization's competitiveness, employees' ability to perform their current jobs, the cultivation and preservation of a high-quality work environment, maximizing employee job satisfaction and self-fulfillment, and conducting a SWOT analysis to identify external opportunities while taking into account the associated risks and threats. This is the rationale for conducting research: to discover the scope of the problem and viable remedies.

The methodology component of a study examining how organizational culture influences the relationship between innovation in organizations and human resource management (HRM) should include the research design, data-gathering methods, sample tactics, and analytical methodologies. This section describes an organized approach to exploring the proposed research questions or hypotheses.

Research Design

The research design defines the overall approach to the study. Given the complexity of the relationship between organizational culture, innovation, and HRM, a mixed-methods approach is recommended, combining both qualitative and quantitative research methods. This approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the phenomena under study.

Qualitative Component: Exploratory, this component seeks to understand the nuances of how organizational culture influences innovation through HRM practices. It may involve case studies, interviews, and focus groups.

Quantitative Component: This component aims to measure the relationships and test the hypotheses derived from the literature review. It may involve surveys and statistical analysis to determine the strength and significance of the connections between variables.

Research Questions and Hypotheses

The research will be guided by specific research questions and hypotheses, such as:

RQ1: How does organizational culture influence the effectiveness of HRM practices in fostering innovation?

RQ2: What specific dimensions of organizational culture are most strongly associated with innovation in organizations?

H1: There is a positive relationship between a culture of collaboration and the effectiveness of HRM practices in promoting innovation.

H2: HRM practices are more effective in fostering innovation when aligned with a culture that supports risk-taking and flexibility.

Data Collection Methods

a. Qualitative Data Collection

Case Studies: Select organizations known for their strong innovative cultures and successful HRM practices. These organizations will be studied in-depth to understand how culture shapes HRM and innovation.

Interviews: Conduct semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including HR managers, innovation leaders, and employees. Questions will explore how they perceive the influence of organizational culture on HRM and innovation.

Focus Groups: Organize focus groups with employees from different departments to gather diverse perspectives on the impact of organizational culture on their ability to innovate.

b. Quantitative Data Collection
Surveys: Develop and distribute a structured survey to a larger sample of organizations. The survey should include validated scales to measure organizational culture (e.g., the Organizational Culture Assessment Instrument), HRM practices, and innovation outcomes.
Secondary Data: Use existing data from organizational reports, employee performance records, and innovation metrics (e.g., number of patents, and new products launched) to complement survey findings.

Sampling Strategy
Qualitative Sampling: Purposeful sampling will be used to select organizations that represent different types of organizational cultures (e.g., innovative, hierarchical) and varying degrees of innovation success. The sample should include organizations from diverse industries to capture a broad range of cultural and HRM practices.
Quantitative Sampling: A stratified random sampling method will be employed to ensure that the survey sample is representative of different organizational sizes, sectors, and regions. The target population will include HR professionals, innovation managers, and employees across various levels within the organization.

Data Analysis
a. Qualitative Data Analysis
Thematic Analysis: Analyze interview transcripts, case study notes, and focus group discussions to identify key themes related to the influence of organizational culture on HRM and innovation. Coding will be used to categorize the data into themes, and patterns will be identified to draw meaningful insights.
Content Analysis: Apply content analysis to any textual data, such as organizational documents or interview transcripts, to quantify the frequency of specific cultural attributes or HRM practices mentioned about innovation.
b. Quantitative Data Analysis
Descriptive Statistics: Summarize the survey data to describe the sample characteristics and distributions of key variables such as organizational culture dimensions, HRM practices, and innovation outcomes.
Correlation Analysis: Use Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients to explore the relationships between organizational culture variables, HRM practices, and innovation metrics.
Regression Analysis: Conduct multiple regression analyses to test the hypotheses and assess the impact of specific cultural dimensions and HRM practices on innovation outcomes. This analysis will help determine the strength and significance of the relationships. Structural Equation Modelling (SEM): If appropriate, SEM can be used to test complex relationships between latent variables (e.g., culture, HRM, innovation) and to validate the conceptual framework proposed.

Ethical Considerations
Informed Consent: Ensure that all participants understand the purpose of the research and provide informed consent before participating in interviews, focus groups, or surveys. Confidentiality: Maintain the confidentiality of all participants and organizations involved in the study. Data should be anonymized to protect privacy. Bias and Reflexivity**:** Acknowledge and Mitigate any potential biases in data collection and analysis. Reflexivity will be practiced, particularly in qualitative research, to consider how the researcher's background and perspectives might influence the study.

5. Conclusion and Limitations of the Study

Generalizability: The findings from qualitative case studies may not be generalizable to all organizations. However, they will provide deep insights into specific contexts. Response Bias: Survey respondents may exhibit social desirability bias, particularly in self-reporting organizational culture or innovation practices. Mitigating strategies, such as anonymizing responses and triangulating data sources, will be employed. Complexity of Culture: Measuring organizational culture is inherently complex, and capturing its full impact on HRM and innovation may be challenging. Multiple data collection methods and robust analysis techniques will be used to address this issue.

This methodology outlines a comprehensive approach to assessing how organizational culture affects the connection between innovation and HRM. By combining qualitative and quantitative methods, this research aims to provide a nuanced understanding of these relationships, offering valuable insights for both academic research and practical application in organizations.

Conclusion

The relationship between corporate culture, innovation, and human resource management is complex and interrelated. A culture that fosters creativity is required, and HRM procedures must be adjusted to reflect and promote this culture. When HRM properly supports an innovative culture, it fosters creativity and new ideas, resulting in long-term competitive advantage.

References

Abubakar, A. M., Megeirhi, H. A., & Shneikat, B. (2019). Tolerance for workplace incivility, employee cynicism and job search behavior. *The Service Industries Journal, 38*(9-10), 629-643, https://doi.org/10.1080/02642 069.2017.1420171

Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. E. (2020). Review: Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Quarterly*, 107–136.

Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modelling in practice: a review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychology Bulletin, 103* (3), 411–433

Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the evaluation of structural equation models. *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science, 16* (1), 74–94, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ BF02723327

Bamberger, P. A., Biron, M., & Meshoulam, I. (2019). *Human resource strategy: Formulation, implementation, and impact*. Routledge.

Bontis, N. (2020). National intellectual capital index: a United Nations initiative for the Arab region. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, *5*(1), 13–39.

Bowen, D.E., & Ostroff, C. (2004). Understanding HRM–Firm Performance Linkages: The Role of the "Strength" of the HRM System. *Academy of Management Review, 29*, 203-221.

Breaugh, J. A., & Starke, M. (2020). Research on employee recruitment: So many studies, and so many remaining questions. *Journal of Management*, *26*(3), 405–434,

Cabello-Medina, C., López-Cabrales, Á., & Valle-Cabrera, R. (2011). Leveraging the innovative performance of human capital through HRM and social capital in Spanish firms. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *22*(4), 807–828,

Cabrera, E. F., & Cabrera, A. (2005). Fostering knowledge sharing through people management practices. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *16*(5), 720–735,

Catherine, H. (2021). Microsoft: Innovation through HR's partnership: Instilling a culture of innovation and forward-thinking from the top down. Strategic HR Review, 5(3), 24-27.

Chang, S., Gong, Y., Way, S. A., & Jia, L. (2019). Flexibility-oriented HRM systems, absorptive capacity, market responsiveness, and firm innovativeness. *Journal of Management*, *39*(7), 1924–1951.

Chang, S-C. and Lee, M-S. (2017). A study on the relationship among leadership, organizational culture, the operation of learning organization and employees' job satisfaction, *Journal of Learning Organization*, 14(2), 155-185

Chen, C-J, and Huang, J-W. (2009). Strategic human resource practices and innovation performance the mediating role of knowledge management capacity. *Journal of Business Research,* 62(1), 104-114.

Chee-Hoong, L., Peter, S-H. T., Chee-Yang, F. and Yin-Kuan, N. (2021). The effectiveness of HRM and KM in innovation performance: a literature review and research agenda. International Journal of Innovation and Learning, 261-268.

Collins, C. J., & Clark, K. D. (2020). Strategic human resource practices, top management team social networks, and firm performance: The role of human resource practices in creating organizational competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Journal*, *46*(6), 740–751.

Cos, J., Liu, Y., Hall, A., & Ketchen, D. (2019). How much do high-performance work practices matter? A meta-analysis of their effects on organizational performance. *Personnel Psychology*, *59*(3), 501–528.

Cooke, F. L., & Saini, D. S. (2010). (How) does the HR strategy support an innovation-oriented business strategy? An investigation of institutional context and organizational practices in Indian firms. *Human Resource Management*, *49*(3), 377–400. https://doi.org/10.1002/ hrm.20356

Chung-Jen, C. & Jing-Wen, H. J. (2007). How organizational climate and structure affect knowledge management: The social interaction perspective, Elsevier, International Journal of Innovation Management. 27.

Damodaran, L., & Olphert, W. (2020). Barriers and facilitators to the use of knowledge management systems. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, *19*(6), 405–413, http://doi.org/10.1080/014492900750052660

Damanpour, F., & Schneider, M. (2006). Phases of the adoption of innovation in organizations: Effects of environment, organization and top managers. British Journal of Management, 17, 215-236.

Darroch, J., & McNaughton, R. (2020). Examining the link between knowledge management practices and types of innovation. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, *3*(3), 210– 222,

Easterby-Smith, M., Lyles, M. A., & Tsang, E. W. K. (2019). Inter-organizational knowledge transfer: Current themes and prospects. *Journal of Management Studies*, *45*(4), 677–690, https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1467-6486.2008.00773.x

Engelman, R. M., Engelman, R. M., Fracasso, E. M., Fracasso, E. M., Schmidt, S., Schmidt, S., … Zen, A. C. (2019). Intellectual capital, absorptive capacity and product innovation. *Management Decision*, *55*(3), 474–490, https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-05-2016-0315

Elrehail, H., Emeagwali, O. L., Alsaad, A., & Alzghoul, A. (2019). The impact of transformational and authentic leadership on innovation in higher education: the contingent role of knowledge sharing. *5*(1), 55-67, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.09.018

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D., (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research, 18*(1), 39–50, http://doi.org/10.2307/3151312

Gil-Marques, M., & D. Moreno-Luzon, M. (2019). Driving human resources towards quality and innovation in a highly competitive environment. *International Journal of Manpower*, *34*(8), 839–860,

Intan, O., Theresa, C. F. & Maria, C. G. (1995). The relationship between human resource practices and firm performance: Management, Academy of Management Journal, 38(3), 635-672.

Keld, L. & Nicolai, J. F. (2021). New human resource management practices, complementarities and the impact on innovation performance, Oxford University Press, Cambridge Journal of Economics, 27, 243-263.

Kristof-Brown, A. L., Zimmerman, R. D., & Johnson, E. C. (2005). Consequences of individual's fit at work: A meta-analysis of person-job, person-organization, person-group, and person-supervisor fit. *Personnel Psychology, 58*(2), 281–342.

Martins, E, & Terblanche, F. (2003). Building Organizational Culture That Stimulates Creativity and Innovation. European Journal of Innovation Management, 6, 64-74. 10.1108/14601060310456337.

Prajogo, D. I. and Sohal A. S. (2003). The relationship between TQM practices, quality performance, and innovation performance: An empirical examination, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management, 20(8), 901-918.

Quinn, E. R. and Cameron, S. K. (2024). Competing Values Culture Model identifies four types of organizational culture: hierarchy, clan, and adhocracy 17 Jul 2024.

Reinhard, L. & Allan, G. (1996). The flexible company: Innovation, work organization and human resource management, Working Paper, Danish Research Unit for Industrial Dynamics (DRUID), 96, 17.

Robert, E. P. (2022). The psychology of competitive advantage: an adjacent possibility, Wiley Online Library, Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 5(1), 62-81.

Robert, D. K., Curtis, P. & McLaughlin, C.P. (1996). The impact of environmental management on firm performance, Informs, Management Science, 42(8), 1199-1214.

Sanders, K., Jorgensen, F., Shipton, H., Van Rossenberg, Y., Cunha, R., Li, X., ... & Dysvik, A. (2018). Performance-based rewards and innovative behaviors. *Human Resource Management*, *57*(6), 1455-1468.

Schein, H. E. & Schein, A. P. (2019). - Business & Economics - Effective, sustainable cultural change requires evolution, not disruption. The corporate interview is a research method in economic geography. Professional Geographer 43, 180–189.

Schaufeli, W., Salanova, M. & González-Romá, V. (2002). The Measurement of Engagement and Burnout: A Two Sample Confirmatory Factor Analytic Approach. Journal of Happiness Studies, 3, 71-92.

Ulrich, D., Brockbank, W., Johnson, D. & Younger, J. (2007). Human resource competencies: Responding to increased expectations. Employment Relations Today. 34. 10.1002/ert.20159.

Yuan, Li, Yongbin, Z. & Yi, L. (2022) The relationship between HRM, technology innovation and performance in China. International Journal of Manpower 27(7):679-697 DOI:10.1108/01437720610708284

Zammuto, R.F. and Krakower, J.C. (1991) Quantitative and Qualitative Studies of Organizational Culture. *Research in Organizational Change and Development*, 5, 83-114.