

Social Entrepreneurship: Bridging the Educational Disconnect and Empowering Youth for Social Change

*Faizah Md Sohid, Nor Lelawati Jamaludin, Faizah Mashahadi, Janiffa Saidon, Nuryusmawati Mohd Yusof,
Syed Ashrene Syed Omar

Department of International Business and Management Studies, FBM UiTM Puncak Alam, Malaysia

Email: *faiza746@uitm.edu.my, norlelawati0019@uitm.edu.my, faizahmashahadi@uitm.edu.my,
janiffa@uitm.edu.my, nuryusmawati@uitm.edu.my, ashrene@uitm.edu.my

Corresponding Author: Faizah Md Sohid

Abstract: This study examines the role of social entrepreneurship in bridging the gap between traditional education and the real-world needs of youth worldwide. It examines the relationship between education, youth empowerment, and social change through the lens of social entrepreneurship, connecting it with social movement theory. The study will use quantitative data to explore this important matter of youth entrepreneurship. The paper maintains that equipping young people with entrepreneurial skills and social awareness is key to long-term development and positive societal change.

Keywords: *Social entrepreneurship, education, social change, youth empowerment, social movement*

1. Introduction and Background

"Education is the most powerful weapon which you can use to change the world."

Nelson Mandela, 1993

Nelson Mandela's words underscore education's transformative role in shaping a brighter future. Despite this, many countries striving for development continue to fall short in providing high-quality education. The primary and secondary education levels often face the brunt of this inadequacy, leaving behind a generation of young people who are unable to seize opportunities to become leaders of their nations. The UNESCO (2015) report indicates that a gap exists between present educational systems in developing countries and the demands of a globalized economy, such as programs like the Youth Entrepreneurship Training Program and 'Dare to Innovate' in Guinea. As a result, education content, methods, and attitudes must evolve to equip students with the skills to drive social innovation and tackle local and global challenges. This has become vital in today's rapidly changing world. Education must keep pace with the rapid developments in technology and economic systems. However, a discrepancy exists between modern education systems and society's requirements, causing a skill gap that affects both developed and developing nations. Social entrepreneurship holds the key to bridging this divide by empowering young people with the essential tools they need to succeed. Building on Tarlau's (2014) emphasis, this paper seeks to investigate the complex dynamic between education, social entrepreneurship, and social movements.

2. Literature Review

Understanding Social Entrepreneurship

The field of social entrepreneurship continues to evolve and is still open to debate (Mair & Marti, 2006; Nicholls, 2006). It entails spotting and seizing chances to address societal issues in ways that are significant and long-lasting (Wallace, 1999). The goal is to sustain long-term viability while bringing about constructive change (Dee, 1998). According to Burton (1987), sustainable development entails addressing present demands without endangering those of future generations. Effective planning, support networks, risk management techniques, and cooperation between several sectors are necessary to accomplish this (Campanella, 2006; Tobin, 1999; Hultman & Bozmoski, 2006). Although social entrepreneurs are frequently praised by the media, academic study has not yet provided a thorough explanation of their motivations or methods of operation (Dees & Anderson, 2006; Nicholls, 2006). To assist these endeavors, reach their full potential, better knowledge is required.

Defining Social Movements

According to Tarlau (2014), social movement theory developed in reaction to earlier perspectives that disregarded political context and viewed activists as mentally ill. Rather, scholars like Tilly (1979) described social movements as continuous attempts by organized organizations to subvert power by persistent, group-wide activities. Contemporary definitions emphasize the necessity of sustained dedication and planning when voicing demands for change. These movements, which are the foundation of social change initiatives, frequently emerge from common objectives and values (McCarthy & Zald, 1977).

Linking Social Entrepreneurship and Social Movements

Some researchers argue that many social innovations seen in social movements can also be analyzed as forms of social entrepreneurship (Cukier et al., 2011). However, these two areas are rarely studied together, even though they share processes such as identifying problems, mobilizing resources, and framing issues to gain support (McAdam et al., 1996). There is a strong need to explore how these two fields intersect and how education plays a role in both. The combination of entrepreneurship and movement-building can create a powerful force for social transformation, especially when focused on youth and marginalized communities.

Education, Social Entrepreneurship, and Social Change

Education plays a key role in social entrepreneurship. Many young people turn to entrepreneurship because traditional job opportunities are scarce. Yet, most have not received proper training in business skills. In many countries, entrepreneurship education is still treated as an optional or extra subject rather than a core part of the curriculum.

Programs like YETP show that with the right training, young people can create businesses that not only support their families but also contribute to the broader community. Better education leads to better business strategies, higher incomes, job creation, improved access to health and education services, and increased civic participation.

Social movement literature, meanwhile, often overlooks grassroots educational practices (Tarlau, 2014). However, both social entrepreneurship and social movements involve learning—whether formal or informal. This learning empowers individuals and communities to take collective action.

Freire (1998) emphasized that education should help people see themselves as capable of changing their reality. He believed that education should go beyond knowledge transmission to include collective action. This vision aligns with the goals of social entrepreneurship: solving problems through innovative, sustainable, and people-driven efforts (Wallace, 1999; Dee, 1998).

Tarlau (2014) also highlighted the importance of informal education—learning that happens through life experience, business operations, and dealing with real-world challenges. While some, like Chambers and Cowan (2003), argue that valuable knowledge comes only from work or life experiences, this paper disagrees. Schools and formal education can also play a vital role in fostering social change when they connect with community needs.

Educators and policymakers should consider how schools can support larger community goals and encourage students to become social entrepreneurs. Governments should focus less on political gestures and more on building critical thinking and capacity within communities.

Problem Statement

In many parts of the world, traditional education systems fall short in preparing young people to address complex social challenges. These systems often prioritize academic achievement and standardized outcomes over experiential learning, civic engagement, and real-world problem-solving. As a result, a critical disconnect has emerged between formal education and the development of socially responsible, proactive young citizens. At the same time, youth represent a powerful demographic with the potential to drive innovation and change in their communities. However, limited exposure to social entrepreneurship within educational settings hinders their ability to translate awareness into action. The absence of structured opportunities to develop

entrepreneurial mindsets, leadership skills, and community-driven problem-solving approaches leaves many young people underprepared to engage in meaningful social transformation.

Social entrepreneurship presents a compelling framework to bridge this educational gap by fostering creativity, critical thinking, and commitment to social impact. Yet, there is a lack of comprehensive research on how it can be systematically integrated into youth education to empower the next generation of changemakers. This research seeks to examine the role of social entrepreneurship in addressing the educational disconnect, identify effective models for integrating it into youth education, and explore its potential to cultivate socially engaged and empowered youth.

3. Research Methodology

Research Design

This study employs a quantitative research design to examine the relationship between youth engagement in social entrepreneurship initiatives and their educational outcomes, skill development, and empowerment levels. A quantitative approach allows for objective measurement, statistical analysis, and generalization of findings across a broader population.

Research Approach and Rationale

The research is rooted in a positive paradigm, aiming to test hypotheses and identify patterns through measurable data. This approach is appropriate for assessing the extent to which social entrepreneurship addresses educational disconnects and empowers youth, using numerical data and statistical methods.

Research Objectives and Hypotheses

The study focuses on the following key objectives:

- To measure the impact of participation in social entrepreneurship programs on youth empowerment.
- To assess the relationship between educational, disconnect and involvement in social entrepreneurial activities.
- To evaluate whether youth engaged in social entrepreneurship report higher levels of skill development and civic engagement.

Hypotheses may include:

H1: Participation in social entrepreneurship initiatives is positively correlated with youth empowerment.

H2: There is a statistically significant difference in educational engagement between youth involved and not involved in social entrepreneurship.

H3: Social entrepreneurship programs contribute to measurable improvements in employability and soft skills among youth.

Data Collection Methods

Data will be collected using a structured questionnaire survey consisting of:

- Demographic information (age, gender, education level, location)
- Standardized scales measuring:
 - Empowerment (e.g., Youth Empowerment Scale)
 - Educational engagement
 - Skill acquisition (e.g., self-reported soft/hard skills)
 - Civic participation or social impact involvement

The questionnaire will use Likert scale **items** to assess responses, allowing for quantifiable analysis.

Sampling Strategy

A stratified random sampling method will be used to ensure representation across key subgroups (e.g., age, gender, type of social enterprise, educational background). The target population includes:

- Youth aged 16–30, both involved and not involved in social entrepreneurship
- Participants from both urban and rural settings (if applicable)

A sample size of 200–300 respondents is targeted to ensure statistical significance and generalizability of results.

Data Analysis Techniques

Collected data will be analyzed using statistical software such as SPSS or R. Analytical techniques will include:

- Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency)
- Inferential statistics, such as:
 - T-tests or ANOVA to compare groups
 - Correlation analysis to assess relationships between variables
 - Regression analysis to determine predictors of empowerment or educational engagement

Significance will be determined at a p-value of < 0.05 .

Validity and Reliability

To ensure reliability, standardized scales with established psychometric properties will be used. A pilot test will be conducted with 20–30 respondents to refine the survey instrument. Cronbach's alpha will be calculated to test internal consistency (target $\alpha \geq 0.7$).

Ethical Considerations

Ethical clearance will be obtained from the institutional review board. Informed consent will be secured from all participants. Participation will be anonymous and voluntary, and respondents will have the right to withdraw at any stage without any consequence.

Research Timeline

Week	Tasks
Week 1–2	◆ Finalize research title and objectives
	◆ Conduct preliminary research and literature review
	◆ Identify research gaps and draft research questions
	◆ Complete literature review
Week 3–4	◆ Organize sources into key themes
	◆ Develop theoretical/conceptual framework
	◆ Identify case studies or models
Week 5	◆ Choose research methodology
	◆ Define sampling and tools (surveys)
	◆ Seek ethical approval
Week 6–7	◆ Collect data (distribute surveys)
	◆ Record and organize findings
Week 8–9	◆ Analyze data (quantitative)
	◆ Interpret findings and relate to research objectives
Week 10	◆ Write the Results section
	◆ Write the Discussion section
Week 11	◆ Write the Introduction, Conclusion, and Recommendations
	◆ Draft the Abstract
	◆ Revise and edit the full paper
Week 12	◆ Format citations and references (APA)
	◆ Seek peer feedback
	◆ Final submission

4. Conclusion

This concept paper highlights the transformative potential of social entrepreneurship as a strategic approach to bridging the educational disconnect and empowering youth as agents of social change. By equipping young people with entrepreneurial skills, critical thinking, and a sense of civic responsibility, we can foster a generation that not only understands the challenges within their communities but is also empowered to create sustainable solutions. Addressing educational gaps through innovative, socially driven ventures not only enhances individual opportunity but also contributes to broader societal development. This research aims to serve as a foundation for actionable frameworks that align education with purpose, ultimately nurturing empowered youth capable of leading meaningful and inclusive changes.

References

- Campanella, T. J. (2006). Urban resilience and the recovery of New Orleans. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 72(2), 141-146.
- Chambers, E. T., & Cowan, M. A. (2003). *Roots for radicals: Organizing for power, action, and justice*: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Cukier, W., Trenholm, S., Carl, D., & Gekas, G. (2011). Social entrepreneurship: a content analysis. *Journal of Strategic Innovation and Sustainability*, 7(1), 99-119.
- Dee, J. G. (1998). Enterprising Nonprofits: What do You do When Traditional Sources of Funding Fall Short? *Harvard Business Review*, 76, 55-67.
- Dees, J. G., & Anderson, B. B. (2006). Framing a theory of social entrepreneurship: Building on two schools of practice and thought. *Research on social entrepreneurship: Understanding and contributing to an emerging field*, 1(3), 39-66.
- Freire, P. (1998). *Pedagogy of freedom: Ethics, democracy, and civic courage*: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Hultman, N. E., & Bozmoski, A. S. (2006). The changing face of normal disaster: risk, resilience and natural security in a changing climate. *Journal of International Affairs*, 59(2), 25.
- Mair, J., & Marti, I. (2006). Social entrepreneurship research: A source of explanation, prediction, and delight. *Journal of World Business*, 41(1), 36-44.
- McAdam, D., McCarthy, J. D., & Zald, M. N. (1996). *Comparative perspectives on social movements: Political opportunities, mobilizing structures, and cultural framings*. Cambridge University Press.
- McCarthy, J. D., & Zald, M. N. (1977). Resource mobilization and social movements: A partial theory. *American journal of sociology*, 1212-1241.
- Mandela, N. (1993). South Africa's future foreign policy. *Foreign Affairs*, 86-97.
- Nicholls, A. (2006). Playing the field: a new approach to the meaning of social entrepreneurship. *Social Enterprise Journal*, 2(1), 1-5.
- Tarlau, R. (2014). From a Language to a Theory of Resistance: Critical Pedagogy, the Limits of "Framing," and Social Change. *Educational Theory*, 64(4), 369-392.
- Tilly, C. (1979). Social movements and national politics.
- Tobin, G. A. (1999). Sustainability and community resilience: the holy grail of hazards planning? *Global Environmental Change Part B: Environmental Hazards*, 1(1), 13-25.
- UNESCO. (2015, 9 April 2015). 2015 EFA Global Monitoring Report (GMR) "Education for All 2000-2015: Achievements and Challenges". from <http://www.un.org/youthenvoy/2015/04/education-2000-2015-third-countries-reached-global-education-goals/>
- Wallace, S. L. (1999). Social entrepreneurship: The role of social purpose enterprises in facilitating community economic development. *Journal of developmental entrepreneurship*, 4(2), 153.